

June 30, 2014

The Honorable Pete Gallego U.S. House of Representatives 431 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gallego:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the <u>Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that we adopted in April.</u> Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable John Garamendi U.S. House of Representatives 2438 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Garamendi:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the *Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* that we adopted in April. Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Chris Gibson U.S. House of Representatives 1708 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gibson:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the *Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* that we adopted in April. Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Louie Gohmert U.S. House of Representatives 2243 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gohmert:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the <u>Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that we adopted in April.</u> Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Sam Graves U.S. House of Representatives 1415 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Graves:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the *Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* that we adopted in April. Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Tim Griffin
U.S. House of Representatives
1232 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Griffin:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the *Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* that we adopted in April. Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable H. Morgan Griffith U.S. House of Representatives 1108 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Griffith:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the *Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* that we adopted in April. Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Raul Grijalva U.S. House of Representatives 1511 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Grijalva:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the *Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* that we adopted in April. Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Brett Guthrie U.S. House of Representatives 308 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Guthrie:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the *Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* that we adopted in April. Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Vicky Hartzler U.S. House of Representatives 1023 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hartzler:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the <u>Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that we adopted in April.</u> Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely.



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Rubén Hinojosa U.S. House of Representatives 2262 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hinojosa:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the *Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* that we adopted in April. Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,



June 30, 2014

The Honorable Bill Johnson U.S. House of Representatives 1710 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Johnson:

Thank you for your letter supporting the creation of a Connect America mechanism for areas served by rural rate-of-return carriers, and a method to provide support for broadband-only lines. This is one of the key issues that the Commission raised in the <u>Connect America Fund Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that we adopted in April.</u> Your views are on this matter are very important, and will be included in the record of the proceeding and considered as part of the Commission's review.

The universal service program is one of the most important tools at our disposal to ensure that consumers and businesses in rural America have the same opportunities as their urban and suburban counterparts to be active participants in the United States of the 21st century. I agree with you that the existing universal service mechanisms for rate-of-return carriers "represent a real obstacle to the broadband future toward which we are all aiming." We are focused on updating the universal service program to ensure that we are delivering the best possible voice and broadband experiences to rural America within the confines of our Connect America budget, while providing increased certainty and predictability for all carriers and a climate for increased broadband expansion.

To that end, in April the Commission voted to move forward with Phase II of the Connect America Fund for price-cap carriers, and took several steps to improve the climate for broadband investment in areas served by incumbent rate-of-return carriers. In particular, we eliminated the Quantile Regression Analysis benchmarks rule because it was not serving its intended purpose. In addition, in the above-mentioned *Further Notice*, the Commission proposed a stand-alone broadband funding mechanism for rate-of-return carriers and sought comment on how to support the deployment of broadband-capable networks by rate-of-return carriers within the current budget for the program. We expect a robust record on how a standalone broadband mechanism could be structured to provide support consistent with the \$2 billion budget for rate-of-return territories.

I appreciate your interest in this matter, and I look forward to working with you as we continue the work of reforming and modernizing the universal service fund high-cost program – as well as other components of the Fund – to ensure that all Americans have access to robust voice and broadband services.

Please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Sincerely,